

# Payken

## API Penetration Test

## Customer-Facing Document

Submission Date: February 5, 2026

# Executive Summary

Rapid7 Consulting conducted an API penetration test for Payken between January 21 and January 23, 2026. This test was designed to provide Payken with an independent, point-in-time assessment of API vulnerabilities from the perspective of a malicious actor in accordance with Center for Internet Security (CIS) Controls and National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) guidelines.

## Assessment Threat Synopsis

The following chart provides a summary of Payken's threat ratings:

Critical	High	Moderate	Low	Informational
0	0	0	2	1

During the analysis phase, Rapid7 evaluated Payken's security posture in the areas of:

- **Network Security:** Rapid7 evaluated the network's security controls by testing Service Management, Encryption and Privacy, Admission Control, Authorization Control, and Patch Management.
- **Susceptibility to Brute-Force Attack:** Rapid7 evaluated if login portals can be brute-forced by testing User Accounts, User Passwords, Service Enumeration, and Service Passwords.
- **Internal Prevention and Monitoring:** Rapid7 evaluated how internal networks prevent and monitor intrusions by testing the Logging, Auditing, Intrusion Detection, and Threat Response.
- **Open-Source Intelligence Gathering:** Rapid7 evaluated how much Open-Source Intelligence (OSINT) is available by assessing User Accounts, Metadata, Social Networks, and Search Engines.

# Threat Ranking Methodology

Rapid7 testing and vulnerability threat rankings are aligned to industry-proven NIST 800-30 threat rankings methodology. The following section outlines the NIST-based scoring methodology applied to the assessment findings:

		Impact				
		Informational	Low	Moderate	High	Critical
Likelihood	High	Informational	Low	Moderate	High	Critical
	Moderate	Informational	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High
	Low	Informational	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate

Table 1: Threat Likelihood and Impact

## Threat Likelihood

- **High:** A malicious actor is highly likely to initiate the threat event.
- **Moderate:** A malicious actor is somewhat likely to initiate the threat event.
- **Low:** A malicious actor is unlikely to initiate the threat event.

## Threat Impact

- **Critical:** The threat event could be expected to have multiple severe or catastrophic adverse effects on organizational operations, assets, individuals, and other organizations.
- **High:** The threat event could be expected to have severe or catastrophic adverse effects on organizational operations, assets, individuals, and other organizations.
- **Moderate:** The threat event could be expected to have serious adverse effects on organizational operations, assets, individuals, and other organizations.
- **Low:** The threat event could be expected to have limited adverse effects on organizational operations, assets, individuals, and other organizations.
- **Informational:** The threat event could be expected to have negligible effects on organizational operations, assets, individuals, and other organizations.

## Level of Risk

- **Critical:** The threat event could be expected to have multiple severe or catastrophic adverse effects on organizational operations, assets, individuals, and other organizations.
- **High:** The threat event could be expected to have severe or catastrophic adverse effects on organizational operations, assets, individuals, and other organizations.
- **Moderate:** The threat event could be expected to have serious adverse effects on organizational operations, assets, individuals, and other organizations.
- **Low:** The threat event could be expected to have limited adverse effects on organizational operations, assets, individuals, and other organizations.
- **Informational:** The threat event could be expected to have negligible effects on organizational operations, assets, individuals, and other organizations.

**Note:** See NIST's comprehensive methodology for more information:

<https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/Legacy/SP/nistspecialpublication800-30r1.pdf>

# Rapid7 Reporting Methodology

A Rapid7 report represents a 'snapshot' of the security posture of Payken's environment at a point-in-time. Rapid7 uses the NIST 800-30 threat ranking methodology, which enables Rapid7 to determine the impact, likelihood, and level of risk that a threat has to an organization.

Rapid7 provides the following within each assessment report:

- An Executive Summary with an assessment synopsis, high-level scope, testing constraints, and an assessment data section to provide an overview of what was performed during testing.
- An Assessment Findings section where the top five key findings and recommendations are called out to provide action items for remediation.
- An Assessment Storyboard to show the repeatable steps, the chained attacks, and to tell the story of what malicious actor could do when leveraging attack vectors.
- Finding sections with repeatable validation steps, recommendations, and remediation resources and references.

Rapid7 provides this report to Payken, who can use it as a plan to structure and track remediation efforts. Once Payken completes their remediation effort, Rapid7 can return for a remediation validation.